UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,072	08/06/2003	James Lousararian	P2205.01C1P2	9838
	7590 06/10/200 VASCULAR, INC.	EXAMINER		
IP LEGAL DEI 3576 UNOCAI			YABUT, DIANE D	
SANTA ROSA	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rs.vasciplegal@medtronic.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/636,072		LOUSARARIAN ET AL.		
Г	Examiner	Art Unit		
[	DIANE YABUT	3734		

		BI/ ((1/ E 1/ (BO)	0704	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 15 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
have under set fo may r	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AME	NDMENTS			
3. 🗀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a company of the	ter form for appeal by materially re		ne issues for
	NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected ciaims.	
4. <b>厂</b>	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  1.40.50		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-17 and 48-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
_	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
_	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowand	ce because:
_	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tc	odd E Manahan/			
	pervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant generally argues that Cummins makes no disclosure of a staple proximal end configured to enable staple closure solely by manipulation of the proximal end of the staple. However, Cummins discloses that a staple-firing mechanism drives the staple 10 towards the free end 28, or from the proximal end to the distal end (see col. 3, lines 60-65 and Figures 4-5). The applicant also argues that Cummins fails to disclose a pledget pre-attached to the staple. The examiner disagrees. It is shown in Figure 3 the staple 10 and the pledget 12 are attached, albeit indirectly, before engaging tissue. It is also noted that "pre-attached" is taken to mean "attached prior to engaging tissue." In addition, applicant argues that there is no frictional engagement between the prongs and the pledget since there is no apparent contact between the legs 22 of the staple and the pledget 12 at any time. However, for example in Figure 6b, it is shown that the pledget is clearly engaged by and between the top portion of the staple prongs. Lastly, the applicant argues that the relationship between the slots 16 and the staple legs does not enable the two to be combined and attached so as to be advanced together toward the arteriotomy. However, it is clear from Figures 1-6 that as the staple is fired, it is driven into the pledget and together they are driven into the tissue, and therefore may be considered as a combination that is able to be advanced together towards the tissue.